# Notes on History

## **KINSEY THE** MAN, **KINSEY THE** DEVIANT

A new book now reveals what has been suspected for decades. Alfred Kinsey, father of the sexual revolution, was a homosexual and more ....

#### by Linda P. Harvey

The idea that people are sexual from birth underlies the whole concept of sex education, the "normalcy" of homosexuality, and the growing defense of pedophilia. While the pagan origins of such practices are well known to biblical Christians, in recent times, the crusader whose name is synonymous with sexual abandon claimed to be a man of science-the researcher Alfred Kinsey, founder of the Kinsey Institute at Indiana University in Bloomington. Although he died in 1956, many of Kinsey's associates are still alive, and a new book reveals at last what many suspected: Kinsey's personal behavior was as deviant as his ideology.

Excerpts from Alfred Kinsey: A Public/Private Life by James H. Jones were published in The New Yorker (August 25 and September 1, 1997). The book shows that Kinsey was a homosexual, a masochist, and a manipulative sexual voyeur-of his wife and his co-workers.

Kinsey's two revolutionary research studies, Sexual Behavior and the Human Male (1948) and Sexual Behavior and the Human Female (1953) provided "scientific" justification for advocacy of a radical transformation of American values from biblically-based sexual morality to post-war progressive hedonism. Funded by the Rockefeller Foundation, the two reports have been shown to be fatally flawed as research, since Kinsey used questionable methodology



Protesters at Kinsey Institute on October 25, 1997

in what he claimed were representative studies. For example, 1,400 of his 5,300 male subjects were imprisoned sex offenders.<sup>1</sup>

Iones gathered his information primarily through delving into Kinsey Institute letters and files on Dr. Kinsey and interviewing his colleagues. But another person's single-minded crusade may have forced the issue in the first place. Dr. Judith Reisman's courageous efforts to expose the Kinsey agenda since the 1970's in speeches, papers, and in books like Kinsey, Sex and Fraud are finally paying off, as secular, mainstream media are now picking up the story.

Kinsey most definitely did have an agenda, and this book puts a missing piece of the puzzle in place. He maintained that all sexual behavior was equal-an orgasm was an orgasm-and cultures that placed taboos on activities like adultery, premarital sex, or homosexuality only did so out of primitive social or religious beliefs. His hostility toward Judeo-Christian mores, and his determination to undermine them, no doubt originated in a reaction to his fundamentalist upbringing in a Methodist household where drinking, smoking, listening to popular music, and even dancing and dating were strictly forbidden.

In the small university town of Bloomington, Indiana, however, Kinsey evidently gathered a group of like-minded academics together, and manipulated sexual histories from them (all as part of the research) as well as from board members of the committee funding his research (associated with the Rockefeller Foundation). It became very difficult, then, to expose the true nature of the Kinsey Institute activities, when Alfred had so much ammunition. Later, after the stunning popularity of the Kinsey work, even after Kinsey's early death, there may have been every reason for Kinsey associates to remain silent about the deviance, abuse and deception. Also, many if not most of them were in agreement with his objectives and results.

> Kinsey most definitely did have an agenda, and this book puts the missing piece of the puzzle in place.

But this book, published in October, brings to light what was going on behind the scenes. The author, James H. Jones, was a past member of the Kinsey Institute's scientific board of adviA good man out of the good treasure of his heart brings forth good; and an evil man out of the evil treasure of his heart brings forth evil. Luke 6:45 NKJV

sors. Kinsey's outwardly conventional lifestyle, it turns out, was a complete facade. His long marriage, conservative home, and three children had always given the impression, not of a bohemian, but of a sincere, dispassionate academician. But, according to author Jones, Kinsey and his close associates regularly engaged in sexual free-for-alls in the attic of the Kinsey home, with Kinsey filming different couples having sex. At one time, he filmed his own wife, Clara, having sex with another man. One former associate's wife told of the stress on her marriage of these outings, and her husband's pressure on her to have sex with other faculty members in order to please Kinsey. At other times, Kinsey would have other associates film him performing various sado-masochistic acts.

And Kinsey regularly traveled to Chicago and elsewhere and visited homosexual districts, as well as having several homosexual "friends" who were regular visitors in Bloomington. This may explain the startling and much-quoted statistic from the Kinsey study on males that ten percent of American men have had at least some homosexual experiences. The skewed sample of his research subjects had seldom been criticized before Reisman's pioneering work beginning in the late 1970's. Many subsequent studies have put the real figure of practicing homosexual males at between 1 and 3%. Yet the false 10% statistic is used widely in homosexual activist materials and even in sex education information given regularly to school children.

While the Kinsey research on males contains a chapter detailing research that can only be defined as criminal child sexual abuse, Kinsey was not an advocate of child/adult sex early on. As his career progressed, however, he became more open to the possibility that intergenerational sex could be a positive experience—that it wasn't necessarily always harmful. This view is one that is increasing in prevalence today among prominent academic "sexologists" and others.

Reisman's book on Kinsey exposed the fraudulent nature of all the sexual research in the studies, and how the results have only rarely been challenged despite this. She also explained the evolving sexual revolution that resulted— the acceptance of premarital sex, the introduction of sex education as a part of the school curriculum, and the ever-softening public stance on homosexuality. Reisman has written a new book, due for publication soon.

The most amazing and disturbing fact that Reisman brought to light, however, was avail-

able for all to see in the published research itself. Why, Reisman asked, had no one ever questioned the obvious criminal nature of the information contained in Table 34 of the first study?

Dr. Judith Reisman speaks to a group of demonstrators at the "Expose Kinsey" rally held on October 25 at the Kinsey Institute in Bloomington, Indiana, sponsored by R.S.V.P. and Concerned Women for America.

On that page, sexual responses supposedly elicited from infants and young boys were listed by age of the child and number of orgasms-timed by a stopwatch! As Reisman pointed out two decades ago, either the information was fabricated, or it was clearly criminal, involving pedophilia. How had such apparent child sexual abuse been allowed to take place under the funding of the Rockefeller Foundation? If these incidents occurred, where were the abused subjects now-and what had been the impact on their lives? And what adult or adults committed these acts? Any such objections should have been enough to brand the study for what it was-a deviant deception-and to thoroughly discredit Kinsey's research.

Yet such lies behind the Kinsey legacy have generated even more monumental lies, disseminated through the work of the Institute and other offshoots in "sexology" research, and fueled with liberal foundation funds. Since Kinsey, we have seen the explosion of the *Playboy* philosophy and the proliferation of ever-more extreme pornography; the casual acceptance of sexual promiscuity and sexuality as an end in itself in relationships; the widespread use of abortion, justified by "reproductive freedom;" and encouragement of teenage sexuality through mandatory sex education programs in schools (many of whose sex educators trained at Kinsey).

Would something else have arisen instead of Kinsey to justify these trends? Possibly. What is tragic is that, when such obvious error is before us for all to see, and we are too preoccupied, too entranced with the desires of the flesh, or too cowardly to object. The result has been a runaway train, that only fifty years later is finally being de-railed and stopped. Let's hope there's still time to repair some of the damage.

 "Mortal Sins," Tom Bethell, National Review, May 19, 1997, p.37.


#### Other resources:

- \* "Dr. Yes," by James H. Jones, The New Yorker, August 25 & September 1, 1997.
- \*\* Kinsey, Sex and Fraud: The Indoctrination of a People, Dr. Judith A. Reisman, Edward W. Eichel. Dr. John H. Court and Dr. J. Gordon Muir, Editors. (Lochinvar-Huntington House Publishers, 1990)

Mission: America Page 15



- Modern sex education is not grounded in the hard sciences of medicine and biology as it was pre-1948. As former U.S. Surgeon General, Dr. Jocelyn Elders explained, sex education no longer provides children with just a "plumbing lesson."
- Whitehead reports that sex educators seek to build self esteem, prevent sexual abuse, promote
  acceptance for all kinds of families, helping little girls be more assertive and boys more nurturing. She
  explains that sex educators inform the disciplines of psychology, sociology, and sexology, providing a *philosophy, a pedagogy* of faith: if teenagers are given a formal body of sex knowledge and skills, along
  with the proper contraceptive technology, they will responsibly govern their sexual behavior.
- Whitehead says principals "buy a sex education curriculum and enroll the coach or home-economics teacher in a training workshop, and their school has a sex-education program" built on the basic tenets of "sex education orthodoxy" as follows:



 Pregnancies Among Unwed Girls Under 15 Years of Age
 throw your arms around your grandpa and give him a hug."

 Second: Sex Ed says children have been sexually mis-educated by parents and church.

<u>Third</u>: Sex Ed says sex education in the schools is the solution, if mis-education is the problem: then;

First: Sex Ed says children are "sexual from <u>birth</u>,"[rejecting any period] when children are sexually latent . "You are being sexual when you


**Fourth:** Sex Ed says it must begin in the earliest grades. Since children are sexual from birth, this is Sex Ed's most defining tenet. Like math or reading, comprehensive sex education takes a "building blocks approach." Sexual literacy in the first grade is acquiring basic sex vocabulary, starting with proper names for genitalia and progressing toward an understanding of masturbation, intercourse and conception.

Sex educators offered their approach as an alternative to what they saw as a failure to regulate teenage sexuality through social and religious values. Thus sex education stands or falls on the proven effectiveness of its techniques.

Table 1 skyrocketing "Pregnancies Among Unwed Girls 15-19" *verifies* that, in the early 50s while "family life" instruction was the responsibility of parents, teen pregnancy was low, but following on the heels of school sex education, teenagers' overwhelmingly made decisions to "engage" in sex despite access to birth control and abortion.

While Whitehead details the "sex education orthodoxy," we compare sex education goals to the hard data which report toxic increases in teenage sexual disease and dysfunctions:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> October 1994. The summary of Ms. Whitehead's article is augmented here by Tables taken from *RSVP America* (1996). References to Kinsey's findings (page 4) are drawn from the work of Dr. Judith Reisman with permission.



Basic data from Family Planning Perspectives, March/April 1987, and from Sexual and Reproductive Behavior of American Women, 1982 88 Furnished by the Alan Guttmacher Institute.

#### Sex educators have faith in the power of "Knowledge" to change sexual behavior.

Whitehead reports that Douglas Kirby, of ETR Associates, a Planned Parenthood associate, conducted a major study on the effectiveness of sex education programs for the Health Education & Welfare and Centers for Disease Control. Kirby claimed that despite billions invested, "As it is typically taught sex ed has little effect on teenagers' decisions to engage or postpone sex.....[or to] significantly reduce teenage pregnancy." However, the hard data reveal a very different story than Kirby's assessment.

#### • <u>Sex educators assume knowledge</u> <u>acquired at early ages will</u> <u>influence sexual behavior</u>.

Whitehead notes a Planned Parenthood conclusion that a "knowledgeable thirteen-year-old is no more likely to use a contraceptive than an uninformed thirteen-year-old."

Table 2, skyrocketing "Pre-Marital Sex" among "Teenage Girls" verifies that while parents still controlled sexual information rates of pre-marital sex were low. Now that schools teach sex "knowledge" teen contraceptive use has not increased although teen sexual activity has increased dramatically.

#### Sex educators assume sex knowledge promotes good communication.

Whitehead reports parental *discipline and supervision are more important than*\_communication. Based on teenagers' self-reports, *diminished parental supervision strongly correlates with early sexual activity*. Says Whitehead, better communication about sex does not contribute to a higher level of sexual responsibility. If free and easy sex talk contributed to responsible sexual behavior the statistical trends in **Table 2** would reflect that fact. Our tongue-tied, "repressed" grandparents had much lower rates of illegitimacy and STDs than today's franker and looser lipped youth.

#### Sex educators advise students that "Outercourse" is an alternative to "Intercourse."

Whitehead finds no evidence that teaching what sex educators call "outercourse," (non-coital sex), that is masturbation, oral sodomy, etc., reduces coital sex. Cross-culturally and historically "outercourse" (or foreplay or petting) is a trigger for intercourse. Teaching it otherwise, seems to Whitehead to come close to educational malpractice.

#### <u>Students will make good decisions if they</u> are taught responsible sexuality


Whitehead cites Kirby as finding no real support for sex educators' claim that responsible sexual behavior follows from many years of sexual schooling. The hard data on Table 3 points upward to a much more damaging conclusion.

## <u>Hard data on teen sexual promiscuity</u> <u>does not discomfort or deter sex</u> <u>educators</u>

Whitehead reports the dearth of a reasoned case for sex education. If challenged that sex education actually <u>increases</u> child and teen sexual activity, sex educators simply crank up their rhetoric: "Criticize sex education, they say, and you contribute to the death of teenagers from AIDS." (Emphasis added.)

## • <u>There has been sparse critical challenge</u> of sex education from the scientific community

Whitehead comments that the theories and policies of sex education are crafted outside the environs of academe. Perhaps sex education has avoided serious criticism because it is not rooted in a single discipline, or set of disciplines, but can best be described as a jumble of popular philosophies and therapies such as self-help, self esteem, assertiveness training, sexology and certain strands of feminism.




## Conclusions Drawn from Dafoe Whitehead's Article:

- A supervising, moderately-strict parent is the most effective preventive for early teen age sexual activity.
- Ideology is the unifying core of sex education. Its course work and training activities are crafted to appeal to the emotions and not to cognitive processes.
- Sex education's "mission is to defend and extend the freedoms of the sexual revolution and its architects are called forth . . . to advance its cause."

But we are left to consider the requisite "Five W's" at the conclusion of the extensive Atlantic Monthly report: "Who is the father, the architect, of the sexual revolution? What is its cause? When did the revolution occur? Where is it leading us, and Why?" Who, What, When, Where and Why? The answer is found in the sex research of zoologist Alfred Kinsey in 1948 and 1953. Kinsey supplied the "building blocks" of "knowledge" on human sexuality. Today whether kindergarten, middle or high school, medical school, seminary, education, health, criminology, law, psychology or sociology, human sexuality training rests upon the scientific cornerstone of one pre-eminent grand architect, Dr. Alfred Kinsey, father of the "anything goes" sexual revolution.

## The Sexual Revolution and SEX ED Today are Built on the Cornerstone of ALFRED KINSEY'S SCIENTIFIC FRAUD




"Look how we've used the Kinsey data. We've used it for everything from assessing the stability of marriage to raising children to trying to understand human growth and development – not just sexual but also psychological growth and changes over time."

> Carol Cassell, *Contemporary Sexuality*, October 1991 a monthly newsletter of the American Association of Sex Educators, Counselors and Therapists; (AASECT).

In 1948 law and public policy was built upon moral authority and with no access to birth control and abortion America had very low rates of out of wedlock birth, STDs and other sexual disease and dysfunction. Since 1948, Kinsey's scientific authority has replaced moral authority in all of America's institutions of *family*, *political*, *economic*, *religious and educational*. Commitment and jealousy are passé. Free love reigns, but free love is not free. As America looks for solutions to skyrocketing sexual and social dysfunction, the ground-breaking work of Dr. Judith Reisman demonstrates that today's sex education cornerstone is built on sex crimes against children. As testimony to Kinsey's bad data the Tables displayed in this paper illustrate the dramatic rise in disease and dysfunction.

#### The following points are Kinsey's human sexuality building blocks for all human sexuality training at all grade levels:

- <u>"Kinsey said: Children are sexual from birth</u> ("womb to tomb"). Therefore sex ed says training should begin early and be a life long experience and children should be "taught" all sexual vocabulary, acts, and be able to share about them freely and knowledgeably.
- <u>Kinsey said: There is no abnormality and no normality.</u> Orgasms are the measure of health and the more the better. All orgasms are equally acceptable and beneficial – between husband and wife, boy and dog, man and boy, girl, or baby, etc.
- <u>Kinsey said: The medical and scientific data find no</u> reason to prohibit incest or adult-child sex. Since Kinsey said children are orgasmic from birth they are *entitled* to and *unharmed* by sex including adult/child and often benefit thereby. Therefore, laws punishing and prohibiting these acts should be weakened or eliminated including age of consent.
- <u>Kinsey said: All taboos against obscenity and all sex laws</u>
   <u>are invalid.</u> Obscenity can be a sex aid and because all sex is
   aced taboos and laws should be weakened or eliminated including



The U.S. is at the top of the world's divorce charts on marital breakups U.S. News and World Report, June 9, 1997, pp. 68-69.

Basic data from the U. S. National Conter for Health Statistics Vital Statistics of the United States, annual.

- good taboos and laws should be weakened or eliminated including laws against non-violent rape.
   <u>Kinsey said: Pre-marital sexual experimentation increases the likelihood of a successful long-term</u> marriage. It will also dramatically reduce jealousy, sexual diseases and social disorder.
- <u>Kinsey said: Masturbation is critical for sexual, physical and emotional health</u> and can never be excessive or pathological.
- <u>Kinsey said: People left on their own are naturally bisexual</u>. Only fear and religious bigotry and prejudice forces people into exclusive heterosexuality and monogamy.
- <u>Kinsey said: All Sodomy is healthy and natural.</u> <u>Homosexuals represent 10-37% of the population</u> or more. Therefore, homosexual and bisexual experience is viable. (Some educators have interpreted his findings by saying that only 4% to 6% of the population is **exclusively** heterosexual so the "heterosexual" bias in the U.S. should be ended.<sup>2</sup>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wall Street Journal, December 31, 1992.

	96/1997
Books	96/1997 
R.S.V.P. America: Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D. and Dennis Jarrard, Ph.D A campaign designed to Restore Social Virtue and Purity to America. This booklet gives a brief history of dissolution of American moral principals drawn from Dr. Reisman's research on Kinsey and recommendat developing school curricula. Includes an overview of the training program to instruct and equip grass roots effect law, legislation and policy at all levels of government.	the general tions for
Advocate Study: Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D. and Charles Johnson, Ph.D Prepublished draft of Partner Solicitation Language: Drs. Reisman and Johnson provide verifiable data on versus heterosexual desires and conduct by comparing the In Search Of' advertisements of men in the ups Washingtonian magazine to similar partner-seeking ads in The Advocate, an upscale homosexual publicati	homosexual cale
Cultural Diversity: Dividing or Uniting America? Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D Cultural/Sexual Diversity Plan as Moral Pedagogy - A work in progress.	\$10.00
Images of Children, Crime & Violence in Playboy, Penthouse, and Hustler <u>The Executive Summary</u> of the \$800,000 study conducted by Dr. Reisman for the Justice Department. The the first published by the American Family Association and was responsible for persuading a national con- stop selling "soft" core porn.	is summary was
The Complete Study	\$50.00
Kinsey, Sex and Fraud: Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D	ed Kinsey. An y. (Soft Cover)
Soft Porn Plays Hardball: Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D Its Tragic Effects On Women, Children, and The Family. Must reading for understanding the power of set their negative and destructive impact on men, women children, and ultimately families.	\$12.00 cual images and
AIDS: The Unnecessary Epidemic - America Under Siege Stanley Monteith, MD The frightening story telling how the AIDS and Gay Lobbies have been able to prevent physicians from mo controlling this epidemic.	\$15.00 onitoring or
Foundations: Their Power and Influence: Rene A. Wormser Large tax-exempt foundations-sponsored "politically correct" social science, including the Kinsey Reports deliberately designed as an attack on moral foundation of America.	<b>\$20.00</b> , which were a
Gays: In or Out? Brassey's: (US) Colonel Ronald D. Ray, USMCR The U.S. Military & Homosexuals - A Sourcebook. DOD's Policy on Homosexuality. A report to Congre Requesters on Defense Force Management.	<b>\$14.00</b> ssional
Military Necessity and Homosexuality: Colonel Ronald D. Ray, USMCR The source book on the homosexual movement. The book that every flag and general officer received before compromise was affected. (Soft Cover)	ore the
Afterword: Colonel Ronald D. Ray, USMCR	\$ 4.00
Presidential Commission on the Assignment of Women in the Armed Forces November 15, 1992 Report to the President by the Commission. Colonel Ronald D. Ray was Commisioned	<b> \$19.95</b> er.
Video Tapes	
The Children of Table 34 The tragic story of Dr. Reisman's discovery of Dr. Alfred Kinsey's systematic sexual abuse of 317 male children fro The information is vital to understanding the "scientific" cover given to fraudulent data which has fueled the sexual capturing all of America's institutions. Produced by Family Research Council.	m 2 months old

#### Dr. Judith Reisman Colonel Ronald D. Ray, USMCR

#### \$5.00 Women in Combat Update on Women in Combat Colonel Ron Ray's appearance on the Focus on the Family broadcast which brought the highest listener response in the history of Focus.

#### Homosexuals in the Military Colonel Ron Ray's appearance on Focus on the Family broadcast on homosexuals serving in the military.

## Amicus Brie

Audio Tapes

#### Steffan v. Perry

### Copy of Amicus Brief filed in this decisive case using the Reisman/Johnson Report to uphold the ban on homosexuals openly serving in the military. \$14.95

#### United States v. Knox Copy of Amicus Brief filed in this important case using Dr. Reisman's science to protect our children from used in pornographic films.

Senate Testimony of Colonel Ron Ray About Illegal UN Deployments Uncovered Includes legal, constitutional, and policy implications raised by Honorable Disobedience of the Court Martial of SPC Michael G. New.

## **3 EASY WAYS TO ORDER**

- Call (502)241-5552 or toll free 1-800-837-0544. Have your credit card ready. 1.
- Fax order form below to 502/241-1552. Credit card and COD orders only. 2.
- Mail order form below to: Order Fulfillment Department, Institute for Media Education, 3. P.O. Box 1136, Crestwood, Kentucky 40014.

#### MERCHANDISE ORDER FORM

Name						
Address						
Phone					. *	
Item		Quantity	Pric	e Each	Total	
			Subtotal KY residents add 6% sales tax Shippping/Handling (see below) Total			
Shipping/Handling United States COD orders add	First Item \$3.50 \$5.00 to total	Addl. Items \$1.00 shipping amount	Canada	First Item \$7.50 \$10 to total s	Addl. Items \$2.00 hipping amount	
Payment: Check/Money Order I VisaM	Enclosed (Payab astercard	le to IME)				
Card #		Exp. D	ateS	ignature		

\$5.00

\$5.00

\$19.95

\$10.00

peaking

please contact

please contact